

Make Your Husband Stay at Home.
YOU CAN FIND A PLAN
OUT OF THE NUMEROUS CONTRIBUTIONS IN THE
Golden Prize Competition
NOW TAKING PLACE IN
THE EVENING WORLD.
PRICE ONE CENT.

AT THE POLLS.

Both Parties Making Active
Efforts to Cast the Full
Registered Vote.

Up to Noon Over 150,000 Citizens
Had Exercised the Suffrage.

Scenes in the Many Districts Photo-
graphed by "Evening
World" Reporters.

An Unusually Uneventful Election,
but Lots of Excitement in
the Close Contests.

Bright Phobus shone to-day upon an off-year election.
The polls opened at 6 o'clock, and before Old Sol had got far into the heavens his eye saw a great people exercising the modern right of self-government.
The election was very quiet in most of the election precincts. The booths of the several political organizations, not always placed so far as 100 feet from the polling place, as prescribed by law, were guide-posts to the wayfarer. A solitary "barman," looking desolate and alone, and two more or less somnolent policemen, marked the very entrance to the scene of self-governing.

In the downtown districts the working force at the polls consisted of from ten to twenty Tammany men, half as many County Democrats and one or two Republicans. Up town the distribution of ticket peddlers and pullers in was even more.

In many districts at the lower end of the island there was not even a solitary Republican at the polls. A sign instead attracted the attention of the suburbanist to a long green box divided into small compartments, in which the ballots of the G. O. P. were to be found.

The early vote was surprisingly large in most downtown districts at 9 o'clock, and it was generally conceded that Tammany Hall was getting out for Tom Grady in the Sixth Senate District.

After an extensive tour of election districts in all parts of the city made by EVENING WORLD reporters, it appeared at noon that over 150,000 voters had cast their ballots.

HEAVY FOREIGN VOTE.
The early vote was surprisingly large in most downtown districts at 9 o'clock, and it was generally conceded that Tammany Hall was getting out for Tom Grady in the Sixth Senate District.

After an extensive tour of election districts in all parts of the city made by EVENING WORLD reporters, it appeared at noon that over 150,000 voters had cast their ballots.

HEAVY FOREIGN VOTE.
The early vote was surprisingly large in most downtown districts at 9 o'clock, and it was generally conceded that Tammany Hall was getting out for Tom Grady in the Sixth Senate District.

After an extensive tour of election districts in all parts of the city made by EVENING WORLD reporters, it appeared at noon that over 150,000 voters had cast their ballots.

HEAVY FOREIGN VOTE.
The early vote was surprisingly large in most downtown districts at 9 o'clock, and it was generally conceded that Tammany Hall was getting out for Tom Grady in the Sixth Senate District.

After an extensive tour of election districts in all parts of the city made by EVENING WORLD reporters, it appeared at noon that over 150,000 voters had cast their ballots.

HEAVY FOREIGN VOTE.
The early vote was surprisingly large in most downtown districts at 9 o'clock, and it was generally conceded that Tammany Hall was getting out for Tom Grady in the Sixth Senate District.

After an extensive tour of election districts in all parts of the city made by EVENING WORLD reporters, it appeared at noon that over 150,000 voters had cast their ballots.

HEAVY FOREIGN VOTE.
The early vote was surprisingly large in most downtown districts at 9 o'clock, and it was generally conceded that Tammany Hall was getting out for Tom Grady in the Sixth Senate District.

After an extensive tour of election districts in all parts of the city made by EVENING WORLD reporters, it appeared at noon that over 150,000 voters had cast their ballots.

ST. MARK'S SCANDAL.

Rev. Dr. Rylance Resigned Under
Charges of Immorality.

He Now Sues Two Vestrymen for Libel
and Conspiracy.

His Resignation Withdrawn, and He
Still Claims to Be Rector.

Vestryman Quackenbush Maintains that
the Charge Will Be Proved in Court.

The parish of St. Mark's, one of the oldest, wealthiest and most influential Protestant Episcopal churches in this city, has furnished another sensational scandal, which has thrown its entire congregation into a state of the utmost excitement and turmoil.

The first sensation occurred eleven years ago, almost to a day, when the body of millionaire Alexander T. Stewart was stolen from his vault in the churchyard, the unavailing search for which furnished for months afterwards an absorbing topic of interest to the whole country.

The present sensation arises out of charges of immorality and unchristian conduct against the venerable rector of the church, Rev. Dr. Joseph H. Rylance, who for eighteen years has been its pastor, which have been preferred by some of the most influential members of the congregation.

These allegations have apparently hopelessly divided the congregation into two bitter antagonistic factions.

As a result, Dr. Rylance was induced to send his resignation to the vestry, but he withdrew it before it had been acted upon, and has now begun suits for libel against Lawyer Nicholas Quackenbush, and against Mr. Quackenbush and William V. King, formerly Secretary of the New York Exchanges, jointly, for conspiracy to slander him in his occupation as a clergyman.

Mr. King is chairman of the vestry of the church, and the former the counsel for the church. Dr. Rylance proposes to vindicate himself in this court, since the charges, he claims, were brought forth, and he was induced to resign, by a conspiracy to defame him, and to deprive him of his position.

According to John Broome Lavitt, who is Dr. Rylance's counsel, the charges against the venerable clergyman have never been definitely formulated.

Dr. Rylance was abroad last summer when the matter came to a crisis, and he received a letter from Mr. King, which purported to be authorized by the vestry, in which his resignation was requested on the ground that charges of improper conduct had been preferred against him.

The nature of the charges, Mr. Lavitt says, were only hinted at, and Dr. Rylance, in the heat of indignation, immediately forwarded his resignation, to take effect on Aug. 31.

On that date Dr. Rylance returned home, and learning by rumor the serious nature of the charges, which had been taken to his source, although every attempt to obtain a copy of them failed, he notified the vestry that he would withdraw his resignation.

A few days afterwards, the vestry met, and it is claimed that they accepted the resignation without saying a word in reference to the notice of withdrawal sent by Dr. Rylance.

As the matter now stands, Dr. Rylance, acting under the advice of his counsel, Mr. Lavitt and Stephen P. Nash, claims to have been preferred against him, and that the action of the vestry in accepting his resignation, which he had withdrawn, is null and void.

The latter, on the other hand, assert that their action is perfectly legal and valid, and that Dr. Rylance is no longer a member of the church.

The suits were brought about three weeks ago, and ever since the case has been in the present it has been the subject of much discussion.

According to Lawyer Lavitt the only thing known about the charges is by rumor and report, and that he has been unable to obtain a copy of them.

He says that during the summer Mr. King and Mr. Quackenbush were around the parish, telling him that they had obtained a copy of the charges, and that they were about to publish them.

Dr. Rylance, he says, had no formal charges before the vestry, but that the whole thing was a conspiracy to defame him, and to deprive him of his position.

Another of the indefinite charges was that, in attending meetings of the Nineteenth Century Club, where he had delivered addresses, he had in one case had paid a woman \$2,000 to have a story suppressed.

A respectable member of the church, and when investigated by the rector's friends, were found to be the effect that he had made improper use of the club, and that the woman in question had been paid a sum of money.

Several of the vestrymen held an informal conference at the house of Sexton Hamill, 111 East Tenth street, about 8 o'clock this morning, when Mr. Quackenbush and Mr. King were present.

Mr. Quackenbush was in a state of mind over the charges, which he had been told, and he had been placed in an entirely false position in this matter, he said, and I would have given him a considerable amount of money to leave the thing from the public eye for the sake of Dr. Rylance, but for the honor of the church.

It would never have come out except for the indignation and impudence of the counsel of Dr. Rylance, and he has only himself to thank for it.

I will authorize you to state, however, in behalf of a conspiracy on the part of the vestry to state in court to-morrow morning that the whole matter has been settled to the satisfaction of all parties.

EXTRA

Rev. Dr. Rylance Resigned Under
Charges of Immorality.

He Now Sues Two Vestrymen for Libel
and Conspiracy.

His Resignation Withdrawn, and He
Still Claims to Be Rector.

Vestryman Quackenbush Maintains that
the Charge Will Be Proved in Court.

The parish of St. Mark's, one of the oldest, wealthiest and most influential Protestant Episcopal churches in this city, has furnished another sensational scandal, which has thrown its entire congregation into a state of the utmost excitement and turmoil.

The first sensation occurred eleven years ago, almost to a day, when the body of millionaire Alexander T. Stewart was stolen from his vault in the churchyard, the unavailing search for which furnished for months afterwards an absorbing topic of interest to the whole country.

The present sensation arises out of charges of immorality and unchristian conduct against the venerable rector of the church, Rev. Dr. Joseph H. Rylance, who for eighteen years has been its pastor, which have been preferred by some of the most influential members of the congregation.

These allegations have apparently hopelessly divided the congregation into two bitter antagonistic factions.

As a result, Dr. Rylance was induced to send his resignation to the vestry, but he withdrew it before it had been acted upon, and has now begun suits for libel against Lawyer Nicholas Quackenbush, and against Mr. Quackenbush and William V. King, formerly Secretary of the New York Exchanges, jointly, for conspiracy to slander him in his occupation as a clergyman.

Mr. King is chairman of the vestry of the church, and the former the counsel for the church. Dr. Rylance proposes to vindicate himself in this court, since the charges, he claims, were brought forth, and he was induced to resign, by a conspiracy to defame him, and to deprive him of his position.

According to John Broome Lavitt, who is Dr. Rylance's counsel, the charges against the venerable clergyman have never been definitely formulated.

Dr. Rylance was abroad last summer when the matter came to a crisis, and he received a letter from Mr. King, which purported to be authorized by the vestry, in which his resignation was requested on the ground that charges of improper conduct had been preferred against him.

The nature of the charges, Mr. Lavitt says, were only hinted at, and Dr. Rylance, in the heat of indignation, immediately forwarded his resignation, to take effect on Aug. 31.

On that date Dr. Rylance returned home, and learning by rumor the serious nature of the charges, which had been taken to his source, although every attempt to obtain a copy of them failed, he notified the vestry that he would withdraw his resignation.

A few days afterwards, the vestry met, and it is claimed that they accepted the resignation without saying a word in reference to the notice of withdrawal sent by Dr. Rylance.

As the matter now stands, Dr. Rylance, acting under the advice of his counsel, Mr. Lavitt and Stephen P. Nash, claims to have been preferred against him, and that the action of the vestry in accepting his resignation, which he had withdrawn, is null and void.

The latter, on the other hand, assert that their action is perfectly legal and valid, and that Dr. Rylance is no longer a member of the church.

The suits were brought about three weeks ago, and ever since the case has been in the present it has been the subject of much discussion.

According to Lawyer Lavitt the only thing known about the charges is by rumor and report, and that he has been unable to obtain a copy of them.

He says that during the summer Mr. King and Mr. Quackenbush were around the parish, telling him that they had obtained a copy of the charges, and that they were about to publish them.

Dr. Rylance, he says, had no formal charges before the vestry, but that the whole thing was a conspiracy to defame him, and to deprive him of his position.

Another of the indefinite charges was that, in attending meetings of the Nineteenth Century Club, where he had delivered addresses, he had in one case had paid a woman \$2,000 to have a story suppressed.

A respectable member of the church, and when investigated by the rector's friends, were found to be the effect that he had made improper use of the club, and that the woman in question had been paid a sum of money.

Several of the vestrymen held an informal conference at the house of Sexton Hamill, 111 East Tenth street, about 8 o'clock this morning, when Mr. Quackenbush and Mr. King were present.

Mr. Quackenbush was in a state of mind over the charges, which he had been told, and he had been placed in an entirely false position in this matter, he said, and I would have given him a considerable amount of money to leave the thing from the public eye for the sake of Dr. Rylance, but for the honor of the church.

It would never have come out except for the indignation and impudence of the counsel of Dr. Rylance, and he has only himself to thank for it.

I will authorize you to state, however, in behalf of a conspiracy on the part of the vestry to state in court to-morrow morning that the whole matter has been settled to the satisfaction of all parties.

EXTRA

Rev. Dr. Rylance Resigned Under
Charges of Immorality.

He Now Sues Two Vestrymen for Libel
and Conspiracy.

His Resignation Withdrawn, and He
Still Claims to Be Rector.

Vestryman Quackenbush Maintains that
the Charge Will Be Proved in Court.

The parish of St. Mark's, one of the oldest, wealthiest and most influential Protestant Episcopal churches in this city, has furnished another sensational scandal, which has thrown its entire congregation into a state of the utmost excitement and turmoil.

The first sensation occurred eleven years ago, almost to a day, when the body of millionaire Alexander T. Stewart was stolen from his vault in the churchyard, the unavailing search for which furnished for months afterwards an absorbing topic of interest to the whole country.

The present sensation arises out of charges of immorality and unchristian conduct against the venerable rector of the church, Rev. Dr. Joseph H. Rylance, who for eighteen years has been its pastor, which have been preferred by some of the most influential members of the congregation.

These allegations have apparently hopelessly divided the congregation into two bitter antagonistic factions.

As a result, Dr. Rylance was induced to send his resignation to the vestry, but he withdrew it before it had been acted upon, and has now begun suits for libel against Lawyer Nicholas Quackenbush, and against Mr. Quackenbush and William V. King, formerly Secretary of the New York Exchanges, jointly, for conspiracy to slander him in his occupation as a clergyman.

Mr. King is chairman of the vestry of the church, and the former the counsel for the church. Dr. Rylance proposes to vindicate himself in this court, since the charges, he claims, were brought forth, and he was induced to resign, by a conspiracy to defame him, and to deprive him of his position.

According to John Broome Lavitt, who is Dr. Rylance's counsel, the charges against the venerable clergyman have never been definitely formulated.

Dr. Rylance was abroad last summer when the matter came to a crisis, and he received a letter from Mr. King, which purported to be authorized by the vestry, in which his resignation was requested on the ground that charges of improper conduct had been preferred against him.

The nature of the charges, Mr. Lavitt says, were only hinted at, and Dr. Rylance, in the heat of indignation, immediately forwarded his resignation, to take effect on Aug. 31.

On that date Dr. Rylance returned home, and learning by rumor the serious nature of the charges, which had been taken to his source, although every attempt to obtain a copy of them failed, he notified the vestry that he would withdraw his resignation.

A few days afterwards, the vestry met, and it is claimed that they accepted the resignation without saying a word in reference to the notice of withdrawal sent by Dr. Rylance.

As the matter now stands, Dr. Rylance, acting under the advice of his counsel, Mr. Lavitt and Stephen P. Nash, claims to have been preferred against him, and that the action of the vestry in accepting his resignation, which he had withdrawn, is null and void.

The latter, on the other hand, assert that their action is perfectly legal and valid, and that Dr. Rylance is no longer a member of the church.

The suits were brought about three weeks ago, and ever since the case has been in the present it has been the subject of much discussion.

According to Lawyer Lavitt the only thing known about the charges is by rumor and report, and that he has been unable to obtain a copy of them.

He says that during the summer Mr. King and Mr. Quackenbush were around the parish, telling him that they had obtained a copy of the charges, and that they were about to publish them.

Dr. Rylance, he says, had no formal charges before the vestry, but that the whole thing was a conspiracy to defame him, and to deprive him of his position.

Another of the indefinite charges was that, in attending meetings of the Nineteenth Century Club, where he had delivered addresses, he had in one case had paid a woman \$2,000 to have a story suppressed.

A respectable member of the church, and when investigated by the rector's friends, were found to be the effect that he had made improper use of the club, and that the woman in question had been paid a sum of money.

Several of the vestrymen held an informal conference at the house of Sexton Hamill, 111 East Tenth street, about 8 o'clock this morning, when Mr. Quackenbush and Mr. King were present.

Mr. Quackenbush was in a state of mind over the charges, which he had been told, and he had been placed in an entirely false position in this matter, he said, and I would have given him a considerable amount of money to leave the thing from the public eye for the sake of Dr. Rylance, but for the honor of the church.

It would never have come out except for the indignation and impudence of the counsel of Dr. Rylance, and he has only himself to thank for it.

I will authorize you to state, however, in behalf of a conspiracy on the part of the vestry to state in court to-morrow morning that the whole matter has been settled to the satisfaction of all parties.

EXTRA

Rev. Dr. Rylance Resigned Under
Charges of Immorality.

He Now Sues Two Vestrymen for Libel
and Conspiracy.

His Resignation Withdrawn, and He
Still Claims to Be Rector.

Vestryman Quackenbush Maintains that
the Charge Will Be Proved in Court.

The parish of St. Mark's, one of the oldest, wealthiest and most influential Protestant Episcopal churches in this city, has furnished another sensational scandal, which has thrown its entire congregation into a state of the utmost excitement and turmoil.

The first sensation occurred eleven years ago, almost to a day, when the body of millionaire Alexander T. Stewart was stolen from his vault in the churchyard, the unavailing search for which furnished for months afterwards an absorbing topic of interest to the whole country.

The present sensation arises out of charges of immorality and unchristian conduct against the venerable rector of the church, Rev. Dr. Joseph H. Rylance, who for eighteen years has been its pastor, which have been preferred by some of the most influential members of the congregation.

These allegations have apparently hopelessly divided the congregation into two bitter antagonistic factions.

As a result, Dr. Rylance was induced to send his resignation to the vestry, but he withdrew it before it had been acted upon, and has now begun suits for libel against Lawyer Nicholas Quackenbush, and against Mr. Quackenbush and William V. King, formerly Secretary of the New York Exchanges, jointly, for conspiracy to slander him in his occupation as a clergyman.

Mr. King is chairman of the vestry of the church, and the former the counsel for the church. Dr. Rylance proposes to vindicate himself in this court, since the charges, he claims, were brought forth, and he was induced to resign, by a conspiracy to defame him, and to deprive him of his position.

According to John Broome Lavitt, who is Dr. Rylance's counsel, the charges against the venerable clergyman have never been definitely formulated.

Dr. Rylance was abroad last summer when the matter came to a crisis, and he received a letter from Mr. King, which purported to be authorized by the vestry, in which his resignation was requested on the ground that charges of improper conduct had been preferred against him.

The nature of the charges, Mr. Lavitt says, were only hinted at, and Dr. Rylance, in the heat of indignation, immediately forwarded his resignation, to take effect on Aug. 31.

On that date Dr. Rylance returned home, and learning by rumor the serious nature of the charges, which had been taken to his source, although every attempt to obtain a copy of them failed, he notified the vestry that he would withdraw his resignation.

A few days afterwards, the vestry met, and it is claimed that they accepted the resignation without saying a word in reference to the notice of withdrawal sent by Dr. Rylance.

As the matter now stands, Dr. Rylance, acting under the advice of his counsel, Mr. Lavitt and Stephen P. Nash, claims to have been preferred against him, and that the action of the vestry in accepting his resignation, which he had withdrawn, is null and void.

The latter, on the other hand, assert that their action is perfectly legal and valid, and that Dr. Rylance is no longer a member of the church.

The suits were brought about three weeks ago, and ever since the case has been in the present it has been the subject of much discussion.

According to Lawyer Lavitt the only thing known about the charges is by rumor and report, and that he has been unable to obtain a copy of them.

He says that during the summer Mr. King and Mr. Quackenbush were around the parish, telling him that they had obtained a copy of the charges, and that they were about to publish them.

Dr. Rylance, he says, had no formal charges before the vestry, but that the whole thing was a conspiracy to defame him, and to deprive him of his position.

Another of the indefinite charges was that, in attending meetings of the Nineteenth Century Club, where he had delivered addresses, he had in one case had paid a woman \$2,000 to have a story suppressed.

A respectable member of the church, and when investigated by the rector's friends, were found to be the effect that he had made improper use of the club, and that the woman in question had been paid a sum of money.

Several of the vestrymen held an informal conference at the house of Sexton Hamill, 111 East Tenth street, about 8 o'clock this morning, when Mr. Quackenbush and Mr. King were present.

Mr. Quackenbush was in a state of mind over the charges, which he had been told, and he had been placed in an entirely false position in this matter, he said, and I would have given him a considerable amount of money to leave the thing from the public eye for the sake of Dr. Rylance, but for the honor of the church.

It would never have come out except for the indignation and impudence of the counsel of Dr. Rylance, and he has only himself to thank for it.

I will authorize you to state, however, in behalf of a conspiracy on the part of the vestry to state in court to-morrow morning that the whole matter has been settled to the satisfaction of all parties.

EXTRA

Rev. Dr. Rylance Resigned Under
Charges of Immorality.

He Now Sues Two Vestrymen for Libel
and Conspiracy.

His Resignation Withdrawn, and He
Still Claims to Be Rector.

Vestryman Quackenbush Maintains that
the Charge Will Be Proved in Court.

The parish of St. Mark's, one of the oldest, wealthiest and most influential Protestant Episcopal churches in this city, has furnished another sensational scandal, which has thrown its entire congregation into a state of the utmost excitement and turmoil.

The first sensation occurred eleven years ago, almost to a day, when the body of millionaire Alexander T. Stewart was stolen from his vault in the churchyard, the unavailing search for which furnished for months afterwards an absorbing topic of interest to the whole country.

The present sensation arises out of charges of immorality and unchristian conduct against the venerable rector of the church, Rev. Dr. Joseph H. Rylance, who for eighteen years has been its pastor, which have been preferred by some of the most influential members of the congregation.

These allegations have apparently hopelessly divided the congregation into two bitter antagonistic factions.

As a result, Dr. Rylance was induced to send his resignation to the vestry, but he withdrew it before it had been acted upon, and has now begun suits for libel against Lawyer Nicholas Quackenbush, and against Mr. Quackenbush and William V. King, formerly Secretary of the New York Exchanges, jointly, for conspiracy to slander him in his occupation as a clergyman.

Mr. King is chairman of the vestry of the church, and the former the counsel for the church. Dr. Rylance proposes to vindicate himself in this court, since the charges, he claims, were brought forth, and he was induced to resign, by a conspiracy to defame him, and to deprive him of his position.

According to John Broome Lavitt, who is Dr. Rylance's counsel, the charges against the venerable clergyman have never been definitely formulated.

Dr. Rylance was abroad last summer when the matter came to a crisis, and he received a letter from Mr. King, which purported to be authorized by the vestry, in which his resignation was requested on the ground that charges of improper conduct had been preferred against him.

The nature of the charges, Mr. Lavitt says, were only hinted at, and Dr. Rylance, in the heat of indignation, immediately forwarded his resignation, to take effect on Aug. 31.

On that date Dr. Rylance returned home, and learning by rumor the serious nature of the charges, which had been taken to his source, although every attempt to obtain a copy of them failed, he notified the vestry that he would withdraw his resignation.

A few days afterwards, the vestry met, and it is claimed that they accepted the resignation without saying a word in reference to the notice of withdrawal sent by Dr. Rylance.

As the matter now stands, Dr. Rylance, acting under the advice of his counsel, Mr. Lavitt and Stephen P. Nash, claims to have been preferred against him, and that the action of the vestry in accepting his resignation, which he had withdrawn, is null and void.

The latter, on the other hand, assert that their action is perfectly legal and valid, and that Dr. Rylance is no longer a member of the church.

The suits were brought about three weeks ago, and ever since the case has been in the present it has been the subject of much discussion.

According to Lawyer Lavitt the only thing known about the charges is by rumor and report, and that he has been unable to obtain a copy of them.

He says that during the summer Mr. King and Mr. Quackenbush were around the parish, telling him that they had obtained a copy of the charges, and that they were about to publish them.

Dr. Rylance, he says, had no formal charges before the vestry, but that the whole thing was a conspiracy to defame him, and to deprive him of his position.

Another of the indefinite charges was that, in attending meetings of the Nineteenth Century Club, where he had delivered addresses, he had in one case had paid a woman \$2,000 to have a story suppressed.

A respectable member of the church, and when investigated by the rector's friends, were found to be the effect that he had made improper use of the club, and that the woman in question had been paid a sum of money.

Several of the vestrymen held an informal conference at the house of Sexton Hamill, 111 East Tenth street, about 8 o'clock this morning, when Mr. Quackenbush and Mr. King were present.

Mr. Quackenbush was in a state of mind over the charges, which he had been told, and he had been placed in an entirely false position in this matter, he said, and I would have given him a considerable amount of money to leave the thing from the public eye for the sake of Dr. Rylance, but for the honor of the church.

It would never have come out except for the indignation and impudence of the counsel of Dr. Rylance, and he has only himself to thank for it.

I will authorize you to state, however, in behalf of a conspiracy on the part of the vestry to state in court to-morrow morning that the whole matter has been settled to the satisfaction of all parties.

EXTRA

Rev. Dr. Rylance Resigned Under
Charges of Immorality.

He Now Sues Two Vestrymen for Libel
and Conspiracy.

His Resignation Withdrawn, and He
Still Claims to Be Rector.

Vestryman Quackenbush Maintains that
the Charge Will Be Proved in Court.

The parish of St. Mark's, one of the oldest, wealthiest and most influential Protestant Episcopal churches in this city, has furnished another sensational scandal, which has thrown its entire congregation into a state of the utmost excitement and turmoil.

The first sensation occurred eleven years ago, almost to a day, when the body of millionaire Alexander T. Stewart was stolen from his vault in the churchyard, the unavailing search for which furnished for months afterwards an absorbing topic of interest to the whole country.

The present sensation arises out of charges of immorality and unchristian conduct against the venerable rector of the church, Rev. Dr. Joseph H. Rylance, who for eighteen years has been its pastor, which have been preferred by some of the most influential members of the congregation.

These allegations have apparently hopelessly divided the congregation into two bitter antagonistic factions.